UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/556,721	11/14/2005	Tsuneo Shirai	279719US6PCT	3287	
	7590 10/07/2008 N, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	1940 DUKE STREET			FOSSELMAN, JOEL W	
ALEXANDRIA	ZIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/556,721	SHIRAI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOEL FOSSELMAN	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er. are: a) accepted or b) object	-
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	xammer, Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TO-152.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arai et al. (US Patent 5,457,473, hereinafter Arai).

Re claim 8, Arai discloses in figures 1-5, a display apparatus comprising: a display configured to display an image (reference character 22, col 5 lines 13-15); driving means for driving the display (reference character 20); and extracting means for extracting control data for controlling the display (reference character 18, col 4 lines 65-67), the control data being superposed on a predetermined segment of an input image signal (col 4 lines 57-65); wherein the driving means drives the display based on the parameters included in the control data extracted by the extracting means so that an image corresponding to the image signal is displayed (cols 4 and 5, Abstract).

Re claim 9, Arai discloses, the display apparatus according to claim 8, wherein the predetermined segment is a segment in which vertical blanking data of the image signal is included (Abstract, col 4 lines 57-65).

Claim 12 is rejected as applied to claim 8 above. The method steps as claimed would have been anticipated by the system of Arai.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-7,10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai.

Art Unit: 2622

Re claim 1, Arai discloses in figures 1-5, an image processing apparatus that executes predetermined signal processing on an input signal and outputs an image signal generated to driving means of a display apparatus, the image processing apparatus comprising: superposing means for superposing control data for controlling the driving means on a predetermined segment of the image signal (reference character 16, col 4 lines 57-65); and outputting means for outputting the image signal with the control data superposed thereon to the driving means (reference character R,G,B'); wherein the control data is provided for parameters of the display apparatus that is to be controlled by the driving means (Abstract, col 4 lines 17-67). Arai fails to explicitly disclose that the control data is provided for each parameter of the display apparatus. Official Notice is taken to note that including each and every parameter for controlling an apparatus in one control signal is notoriously well known and used in the related art and would have been obvious to utilize to enable the display parameters to be output only once reducing the complexity of the system.

Re claim 2, Arai discloses, the image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the predetermined segment is a segment in which vertical blanking data of the image signal is included (Abstract, col 4 lines 57-65).

Claims 4-6 are rejected as applied to claim 1. The control signal corresponds to the user input control instructions and dictates the behavior of the display. In view of the combination of Arai and obviousness, one skilled in the art would not have been precluded from representing specific parameters, such as: image reversal, white

balance, back light information, in the control signal. The control information outputted would have been obvious dictated by design choice.

Claim 7 is rejected as applied to the above claims. The method steps as claimed would have been implied and expected by the modified system of Arai.

Claim 10 is rejected as applied to claim 9 for the reasons stated in claim 1.

Claim 13 recites essentially the same scope as the above-mentioned claims and is rejected for the same reasons.

Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai in view of Maki (JP 2000-250526, hereinafter Maki).

Re claim 3, Arai discloses the limitations of claim 2 as stated above. Arai fails to explicitly disclose that the control data for each parameter is provided repeatedly a plurality of times.

Maki discloses a method and device for image display control. The control data can be related to any controllable display parameter. Maki discloses a transmitting means that carries out multiple-times transmission of a same command data to a display (par [0007]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an image processing apparatus, as claimed, to superimpose control data for a driving means onto the vertical blanking period of an image; meanwhile providing the control data repeatedly. Since Arai discloses an image display apparatus that superimposes control data for a display on the vertical retrace

period of an image signal; carrying out multiple-times transmission of the same command data, from Maki, would reduce the chance that an error during transmission would cause the whole image display to be affected.

Claim 11 is rejected as applied to claim 10 for the reasons stated in claim 3.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOEL FOSSELMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3728. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 7:00 PM M-T, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,721 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

/Joel Fosselman/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622 09/22/2008

> /Ngoc-Yen T. VU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622